



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,076	99/518,076 03/03/2000		Leland Shapiro	114232.109	5437
27160	7590	05/07/2003			
PATENT A			EXAMINER		
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 525 WEST MONROE STREET				HILL, MYRON G	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1648	25
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/518,076	SHAPIRO, LELAND					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Myron G. Hill	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a repi of within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH of cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> J	lanuary 2003 .						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims	_	•					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 and 16-39 is/are 	•	etion .					
	e withdrawn norn considere	auon.					
5)∐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1- 8 and 11- 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>1- 8 and 71- 75</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		440(-) (1) (5)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 9	119(a)-(d) or (t).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	- bassa basassasasissad						
1. Certified copies of the priority document		aliantian No					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 							
Attachment(s)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) comal Patent Application (PTO-152) ce to Comply .					

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, species V in Papers No. 18 and 24 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be a burden to search all the claims because they are in the same class /subclass. This is not found persuasive because each of the groups of compounds is a distinct chemical structure or drawn to methods that treat different diseases/conditions (treating any STD with compounds other than AAT).

Claims 9- 11 and 16- 39 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

A signed and initialed copy of IDS paper # 21 is enclosed. It is noted that paper #10 (your mail date 29 August 2001) is noted as a Supplemental IDS; however, there is no PTO-1449 included and there is no evidence of a prior IDS in or on the file wrapper. The Office would like to know if these references are on paper #21 and if there is an earlier IDS.

Drawings

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is reminded of the PTO-948 sent in prior communication.

Sequence Requirements

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

See at least page 3, starting on line 8 and page 6, lines 2 and 3.

Full compliance with the sequence rules is required in response to this Office Action. A complete response to this office action should include both compliance with the sequence rules and a response to the office Action set forth below. Failure to fully comply with **both** these requirements in the time period set forth in this office action will be held non-responsive.

Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: puncuation errors in the claim, see at least the junction of the first and second named species.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1648

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by "disease arising from a herpes virus infection." It is not clear that all the named conditions in claim 3 are the direct result of a herpes infection. In claims 5 and 6 it is not clear if these are steps that are part of the method or if it is akin to a product by process claim for the agent used. Claims 5 and 6 are for the purpose of this office action treated as akin to a product by process and not a method step. It is not clear in claim 11 where the dose is applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lezdey (US 5,532,215).

Lezdey teaches a method to treat a subject suffering from a herpes virus infection, eczema or related conditions with an AAT compound by topical or systemic

Art Unit: 1648

dosing at about 1mg/kg body weight (column 5, lines 16- 33, column 6, line 32 – column 7, Example 1, column 12, lines 10- 12, Claims 1 and 2). Lezdey teaches that AAT is a serine proteinase inhibitors that is in the subgroup called serpins (column 3, lines 39-41) and that alpha 1- protease inhibitor (alpha 1- PI) is another name for AAT (column 5, line 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gyorkos (US 5,618,852).

Gyorkos teaches AAT related compounds can be used to treat conditions that are caused by an imbalance in the level of alpha 1- PI including invasion of malignant tumors and related conditions (column 1, lines 28- 35). While Gyorkos does not name all the conditions as per the claims, one of skill in the art would realize the range of conditions that can be treated by the method of Gyorkos.

Art Unit: 1648

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lezdey as applied to claims 1- 3, 5- 8, 11- 14 above, and further in view of Gyorkos (US 5,891,792).

Lezdey as discussed above teaches the use of AAT to treat herpesvirus infection.

Lezdey does not teach related compounds that have AAT-like activity.

Gyorkos teaches a series of compounds including (Benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-N-[1-(2-[-(3-methylbenzyl)-1,3,4-oxydiaxolyl]carbonyl)-2-(S)-methylpropyl]-L-prolinamide that are serine proteinase inhibitors that are low molecular weight, high stability, stability in physiological conditions, is a serpin, and can be formulated as a pharmaceutical (column 11, line 60- column 12, line 9, column 13, line 34- column 14, line 47, and Example I). Gyorkos also teaches that these compounds are inhibitors of alpha-1 protease (column 1, lines 8-53)

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have known that the compounds described by Gyorkos had the activity exhibited by mammalian alpha-1-antitrypsin that are required to meet the requirements of the method of Lezdey. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have known the benefits of the compounds taught by Gyorkos as discussed above and would have used them in the method of Lezdey.

Thus, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to use the compounds of Gyorkos including (Benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-valyl-N-[1-(2-[-(3-methylbenzyl)-1,3,4-

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/518,076

Art Unit: 1648

oxydiaxolyl]carbonyl)-2-(S)-methylpropyl]-L-prolinamide knowing that it has AAT activity

in the method of Lezdey with the expectation of success.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-

4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4247. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner

May 1, 2003

JAMES HOUSEL

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600